

Memorandum

To: Select Democratic Senators and Representatives, Senate Public Lands Subcommittee and Interior Appropriations Subcommittees

From: 88 grassroots organizations

Date: 11/15/2006

Re: Request to block *quid pro quo* public lands bills in appropriations riders or stand-alone bills

Honorable Senators and Members:

Please see the attached letter, originally issued before the midterm election, in which 88 grassroots organizations called for a moratorium on harmful public land bills.

As we anticipated at that time, there has been a significant shift in the makeup of the U.S. Congress which we believe will create a much friendlier environment for our public lands.

We are asking your help in stopping the passage of several damaging public land bills that may be rushed through in the short time remaining to the 109th Congress.

We look forward to working with you in the new Congress to protect our public lands!

Respectfully submitted,

88 signatories (see attached)

AN OPEN LETTER TO THE CONSERVATION COMMUNITY

CALLING FOR A MORATORIUM ON DAMAGING PUBLIC LAND & WILDERNESS LEGISLATION

September 12, 2006

The undersigned organizations call on the conservation community to support a moratorium on bills currently pending in Congress that combine wilderness designation with harmful land and water development provisions.

This extraordinary request stems from our alarm regarding several bills detrimental to public lands heading toward fast-track passage in the U.S. Congress. Some support these bills for their wilderness designations, but the bills are laden with environmentally damaging provisions and land privatization schemes that have dire implications for future public-land and wilderness protection.

In recent years, there has been a transformation in the approach both Congress and some wilderness advocates have taken to formulating and gaining support for wilderness legislation. The new approach carries severely adverse consequences for both public lands and wilderness, and we believe the trend must be stopped now.

We ask those organizations that have been supporting these bills to recognize the danger the bills pose to public lands and join with us in opposing their passage. We ask for a moratorium on all wilderness legislation that contains non-wilderness-related provisions and special exceptions for uses inside wilderness.

The price is too high

A far cry from simple wilderness protection, the new trend—often called “*quid pro quo* wilderness”—is to insert wilderness designation into large, complex land-use development bills, offsetting wilderness protection with harmful provisions such as:

- ✍ sell-offs, even outright giveaways, of public land to local interests and developers;
- ✍ free public rights-of-way for sprawling water pipelines;
- ✍ direct conveyances of federal land (and land-sale proceeds) to states and counties;
- ✍ the formation of select groups to exercise local control over public lands;
- ✍ sanctioning motor-vehicle use, stream-poisoning, military maneuvers, and other incompatible and inappropriate uses in wilderness.

These provisions continually lower the bar on what constitutes wilderness protection; legitimize the idea that it is acceptable to sell off or give away public land; entrench the

concept of trading public land in one place for wilderness designation elsewhere; and undermine the larger struggle to protect all public land.

A multiple-bill train wreck

There are four bills pending in Congress that fit the *quid pro quo* mold, and which may end up combined for fast-track passage, with or without other wilderness bills:

- (1) White Pine County Conservation, Recreation and Development Act of 2006, S. 3772
- (2) Central Idaho Economic Development and Recreation Act, HR 3603
- (3) Washington County Growth and Conservation Act of 2006, S. 3636, HR 5769
- (4) Owyhee Initiative Implementation Act of 2006, S. 3794

Just these four bills combined would sell off, trade, or give away more than 80,000 acres of public land; give away free public right-of-way for water pipelines and untold acres for other sprawl-enabling utility infrastructure; and release some 400,000 acres of Wilderness Study Areas.

Other bills include smaller (or no) trade-offs, but nevertheless create wilderness areas with special exceptions that allow inappropriate and damaging uses. One bill would codify an exchange of public National Forest land formulated by private parties to settle a private dispute.

Whether some or all, passed individually or together, and in whatever combination, these bills are dismantling public land protection and undermining the spirit and intent of the Wilderness Act.

When the people lead the leaders will follow

Since last fall, both the Bush Administration and the majority party in Congress have floated many proposals for large-scale privatization of public land, including schemes to sell 300,000 acres of “excess” land in our National Forests, auction off public land in the West, and sell National Parks. The public outcry has been swift and resounding—prompting even anti-federal-land ideologues in Congress to disavow the proposals.

The conservation and wilderness communities were united in opposing these privatization schemes. Yet *quid pro quo* bills are part of the same phenomenon and would accomplish the same result—divesting the public of public lands, treating them as an asset to be liquidated for the benefit of local and special interests.

It's the worst possible time for fast-track wilderness

The current administration and Congress are promoting the most environmentally damaging policies the U.S. has seen in years. Public land liquidation and privatization are at the heart of their agenda.

When Congress re-convenes in September for a hasty wrap-up before the elections, there is a strong possibility that several individual privatization-development-wilderness bills could be thrown into one or more mammoth omnibus bills. Good bills may be thrown in with the bad to neutralize opposition and to try to belatedly burnish the green credentials of the party in power.

At the same time, there is a strong potential for change in the makeup of Congress—*less than two months from now*. This is not a mere naïve hope, but a possibility that is under very serious discussion.

The only prudent approach at this pivotal time is to abandon support for these severely compromised proposals. We must re-unify as a principled, public-interest movement, and fight for true wilderness and public land protection in the new year.

Rededicating ourselves to protecting public land

The ongoing and intensifying internal debate over *quo pro quo* wilderness is dividing what should be a unified movement to protect public lands and wilderness.

If we are to succeed in protecting public land we need to acknowledge the importance of the debate, make room for it, and work toward an agreement about principles and bottom lines. This discussion needs to happen sooner rather than later.

In the meantime, because any entrenchment of the *quid pro quo* trend simply narrows our options, we ask again for unified opposition to the passage of any more of these damaging wilderness bills this year, in this Congress, in this political environment.

Wilderness Watch
Missoula, MT
George Nickas

Western Lands Project
Seattle, WA
Janine Braeloch

Western Watersheds Project
Hailey, ID
Jon Marvel

Friends of the Clearwater
Moscow, ID
Gary Macfarlane

**Public Employees for
Environmental
Responsibility**
Olympia, WA
Sue Gunn

**Great Old Broads for
Wilderness**
Durango, CO
Veronica Egan

NREPA Network
Hailey, ID
Kaz Thea

**Alliance for the Wild
Rockies**
Missoula, MT
Michael Garrity

Public Lands Foundation
Arlington, VA
George Lea

Idaho Sporting Congress
Boise, ID
Ron Mitchell

Animas Riverkeepers
Durango, CO
Dave Wegner

*Assoc. of Veterinarians for
Animal Rights*
Davis, CA
Teri Barnato

*Brister for Congress
Campaign*
Salt Lake City, UT
Bob Brister

California Oak Foundation
Oakland, CA
Janet Santos Cobb

*Center for Environmental
Equity*
Portland, OR
Larry Tuttle

*Center for Sustainable
Living*
Bloomington, IN
Lucille Bertuccio

*Citizens Against Recreation
Privatization*
Southlake, TX
Greg Billingsly

*Citizens Committee to Save
Our Canyons*
Salt Lake City, UT
Gale Dick

*Citizens for Public
Resources*
Moscow, ID
Tom Giesen

*Citizens for the Chuckwalla
Valley*
Desert Center, CA
Donna Charpied

Citizens Progressive Alliance
Littleton, CO
Phil Doe

*Coalition of Retired
Sawtooth NRA Managers*
Hailey, ID
Scotty Phillips

Cold Mountain, Cold Rivers
Missoula, MT
Lance Olsen

Colorado RiverKeeper
Moab, UT
John Weisheit

*Committee for Idaho's High
Desert*
Boise, ID
Steve Jakubowics

Conservation Congress
Lewistown, MT
Denise Boggs

*Deerlodge Forest Defense
Fund*
Boulder, MT
Paul Richards

Desert Survivors
Oakland, CA
Steve Tabor

Dona Ana Quality of Life
Las Cruces, NM
Stephen Fischmann

*Fire Island Wilderness
Committee*
Brookhaven, NY
Joe Zysman

Forests Forever
San Francisco, CA
Paul Hughes

*Friends of Bell Smith
Springs*
Stonefort, IL
Sam Stearns

*Friends of the Agua Fria
River Basin*
Mayer, AZ
Peggy Titus

*Friends of the Breitenbush
Cascades*
Salem, OR
Michael Donnelly

Friends of the West
Clayton, ID
Dave Richmond

Friends of the Wild Swan
Swan Lake, MT
Arlene Montgomery

Glen Canyon Institute
Salt Lake City, UT
Rich Ingebretsen

Heartwood
Brookport, Illinois
Ernie Reed

*Hells Canyon Preservation
Council*
LaGrande, OR
Larry McLaud

*High Sierra Hikers
Association*
South Lake Tahoe, CA
Peter Browning

Idaho Birdhunters
Boise, ID
Russell Heughins

Idaho Wildlife Federation
Boise, ID
Kent Marlor

Indiana Forest Alliance
Bloomington, IN
Drew Laird

*Indian Nations Audubon
Society*
Wagoner, OK
David Dyer

*Key Deer Protection
Alliance*
Big Pine Key, FL
Mick Putney

*Kootenai Environmental
Alliance*
Coeur d'Alene, ID
Barry Rosenberg

Lake Superior Greens
Superior, WI
Jan Conley

Living Rivers
Moab, UT
Owen Lammers

Masilla Valley Audubon Society
Las Cruces, NM
Robert Tafanelli

MidFORC
Seattle, WA
Mark Boyar

Missouri Forest Alliance
St. Louis, MO
Jim Scheff

Montgomery County Env. Health Division
Troy, NC
John Vinroot

Native Forest Council
Eugene, OR
Tim Hermach

Nevada Environmental Coalition
Las Vegas, NV
Robert Hall

North Cascades Conservation Council
Seattle, WA
Marc Bardsley

Northwoods Wilderness Recovery
Marquette, MI
Doug Cornett

Olympic Forest Coalition
Olympia, WA
Bonnie Phillips

Olympic Park Associates
Sequim, WA
Donna Osseward

Ozark Society
Buffalo River Chapter
Gilbert, AR
Laura Timby

Pack Rat Outdoor Center
Fayetteville, AR
Carolyn Crook

Public Lands Without Livestock
Binghamton, NY
Mike Hudak

Red Rock Forests
Moab, UT
Terry Shepherd

Regional Assoc. of Concerned Environmentalists
Brookport, IL
Craig Rhodes

Retailers of the Outdoor Industry
Asheville, NC
David E. Matz

River Runners for Wilderness
Moab, UT
Tom Martin

Save America's Forests
Washington, D.C.
Carl Ross

Save Lake Atoka Wagoner
OK
David Dyer

Selkirk Conservation Alliance
Priest River, ID
Mark Sprengel

Shagbark
Paoli, IN
Andy Mahler

Society for Animal Protective Legislation
Washington, D.C.
D.J. Schubert

Southwest Environmental Center
Las Cruces, NM
Kevin Bixby

Speak Up for Wildlife Foundation
Calgary, Alberta
Brian Horejsi

Sperling Foundation
Eugene, OR
Tom Pringle

Swan View Coalition
Kallispell, MT
Keith Hammer

Tongass Conservation Society
Ketchikan, AK
Gregory Vickrey

United Mountain Defense
Knoxville, TN
Chris Irwin

Utah Environmental Congress
Salt Lake City, UT
Kevin Mueller

Valley Watch
Evansville, IN
John Blair

Virginians for Wilderness
Staunton, VA
R.F. Mueller

Wasatch Mountain Club
Salt Lake City, UT
Will McCarvill

Western Wildlife Conservancy
Salt Lake City, UT
Kirk Robinson

Wild South
Moulton, AL
Janice Barrett

Wild Watershed
Santa Fe, NM
Sam Hitt

WildWest Institute
Missoula, MT
Matthew Koehler

Wild Wilderness
Bend, OR
Scott Silver

Wilderness Guides Council
Santa Rosa, CA
Kathy Scout Tomyris

Wildlands CPR
Missoula, MT
Bethanie Walder

Zoar Valley Nature Society
Gowanda, NY
Julie Broyles